



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,373		06/22/2001	Kathy T. Stark	80168-0123	5675	
32658	7590	06/20/2006		EXAMINER		
HOGAN &		ON LLP ER, SUITE 1500	CHANKONG, DOHM			
1200 SEVEN		•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER, (	CO 80202			2152		
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advison, Action		''						
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	09/886,373	STARK ET AL.	-					
Before the Fining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Dohm Chankong	2152						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	Iress					
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compact following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	·							
b) Mean the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e								
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must AMENDMENTS	be liled within the time period set it	orm in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	**	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		g tile issues ioi					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•						
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or atta	ched.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b  See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allow	ance because:					
		11	_ \					

BUNUOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14/49) Pape

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues in substance: (a) that Alfieri does not disclose levels correlating to stages of completion; and (b) Alfieri does not disclose levels tunable by a system coordinator. In regards to (a), Alfieri discloses various levels when a node joins or leaves the group. For example, a node may be joining, joined, leaving or inactive. These levels correspond to the various stages of completion of an action. See also table 2 where Alfieri discloses levels of "down", "up", "starting" "stopping", "registered". In regards to (b), Alfieri discloses an registration and launch service that is responsible for "tuning" paremeters of a function [column 15 "lines 27-65"]. Alfieri discloses that the launch service requests the event manager daemon to monitor certain conditions by specifying certain IN parameters. The registration and launch service is part of the event manager and the event manager is in every node. Thus, each node contains the registration and launch service [Figures 3 and 21]. The application is thus NOT in condition for allowance.